

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

A) Number of feedbacks received in 2017-2018 year

Year	Number of Students
2017-2018	657

B) Questions Asked:

Q.No.	Question Asked	Abbreviation Used
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any?	COURSE CONTENT
2	Extent of coverage of courses?	
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations?	
4	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)?	
5	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material?	
6	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)?	
7	Extent of effort required by students?	
8	Overall Rating?	


C) Analysis of feedback received from students (year wise from 2017-18)

Year		2017-18			
Rating on/ Rating		Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
Course Content (Depth of the course content including project work if any) (Q.No.1)	Count	354	214	45	44
	%	53.88%	32.57%	6.85%	6.70%
Course Content	Count	279	313	57	8

(Extent of coverage of courses) (Q.No.2)	%	42.47%	47.64%	8.68%	1.22%
Course Content (Applicability/relevance to real life situations) (Q.No.3)	Count	260	294	94	9
	%	39.57%	44.75%	14.31%	1.37%
Course Content (Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives) (Q.No.4)	Count	305	276	66	10
	%	46.42%	42.01%	10.05%	1.52%
Course Content (Clarity & relevance of textual reading material) (Q.No.5)	Count	278	296	75	8
	%	42.31%	45.05%	11.42%	1.22%
Course Content (Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)) (Q.No.6)	Count	280	277	88	12
	%	42.62%	42.16%	13.39%	1.83%
Course Content (Extent of effort required by students) (Q.No.7)	Count	289	309	55	4
	%	43.99%	47.03%	8.37%	0.61%
Course Content (Overall Rating) (Q.No.8)	Count	379	222	50	6
	%	57.69%	33.79%	7.61%	0.91%

ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-18


Students Feedback on Course Content (Overall students =657)



SCHOOL OF SCIENCES

Dept. of Applied Mathematics (No. of students=35)


Students Feedback on Course Content (Applied Mathematics)



Course Content

Dept. of Applied Microbiology (No. of Students=20)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Applied Microbiology)




Course Content

Dept. of Biochemistry (No. of Students =18)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Biochemistry)

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor




	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of coverage of courses	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall Rating
■ Excellent	38.89	44.44	38.89	44.44	44.44	33.33	33.33	50
■ Good	55.56	55.56	50	50	38.89	61.11	55.56	50
■ Average	5.56	0	11.11	5.56	16.67	5.56	11.11	0
■ Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Course Content

Dept. of Biotechnology (No. of Students =31)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Biotechnology)




Course Content

Dept. of Biosciences & Sericulture (Botany) (No. of Students =27)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Botany)

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor




	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of coverage of courses	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall Rating
■ Excellent	74.07	55.56	55.56	55.56	59.26	55.56	40.74	62.96
■ Good	25.93	44.44	44.44	44.44	40.74	44.44	59.26	37.04
■ Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
■ Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Course Content

Dept of Biosciences & Sericulture (Zoology) (No. of students =25)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Zoology)




Course content

Dept. of Home Science (Community Health & Nutrition) (No. of Students =10)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Home Science (CHN))

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor




	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of coverage of courses	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall Rating
■ Excellent	20	40	30	40	0	30	10	40
■ Good	70	40	60	40	70	50	80	30
■ Average	10	20	10	20	30	20	10	30
■ Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Course Content

Dept. of Home Science (Clinical Nutrition & Dietetics) (No. of Students =5)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Home Science (CND))

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor




	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of coverage of courses	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall Rating
■ Excellent	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
■ Good	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
■ Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
■ Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Course Content

Dept. of Home Science (Food Science & Quality control) (No. of Students =2)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Home Science (FSQC))

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor




	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of coverage of courses	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall Rating
■ Excellent	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
■ Good	50	0	0	50	50	50	0	50
■ Average	0	50	50	0	0	0	50	0
■ Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Course Content

Dept of Physical Sciences (Physics) (No. of Students =40)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Physics)




Course Content

Dept of Physical Sciences (Organic Chemistry) (No. of Students=87)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Organic Chemistry)

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor




Course Content

Dept of Statistics (No. of Students =34)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Statistics)

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor




	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of coverage of courses	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall Rating
■ Excellent	47.06	23.53	35.29	38.24	32.35	26.47	29.41	47.06
■ Good	38.24	58.82	41.18	55.88	52.94	50	58.82	47.06
■ Average	14.71	17.65	23.53	5.88	11.76	20.59	11.76	5.88
■ Poor	0	0	0	0	2.94	2.94	0	0

Course Content

SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES

Dept. of Business Management (No. of Students = 105)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Business Management)




	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of coverage of courses	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall Rating
■ Excellent	61.9	41.9	37.14	47.62	37.14	38.1	44.76	55.24
■ Good	34.29	50.48	48.57	41.9	48.57	41.9	44.76	34.29
■ Average	3.81	7.62	13.33	10.48	14.29	19.05	10.48	10.48
■ Poor	0	0	0.95	0	0	0.95	0	0

Course Content

Dept. of MBA (Commerce) (No. of Students = 54)


Students Feedback on Course Content (Commerce)



Course Content

Dept. of Communication & Journalism (No. of Students =16)


Students Feedback on Course Content (Communication & Journalism)



Course Content

Dept. of Education (BEd-HI) (No. of Students = 6)

Students Feedback on Course Content (BEd (HI))




Course Content

Dept. of Education (No. of Students = 32)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Education)

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor




	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of coverage of courses	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall Rating
■ Excellent	65.63	43.75	40.63	50	43.75	50	46.88	68.75
■ Good	25	53.13	46.88	31.25	46.88	43.75	40.63	25
■ Average	9.38	3.13	12.5	18.75	9.38	6.25	12.5	6.25
■ Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Course content

Dept. of English (No. of Students =29)


Students Feedback on Course Content (English)



Course Content

Dept of Music (No. of Students =3)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Dept of Music)




Course Content

Dept. of Physical Education (BPED) (No. of Students = 10)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Physical Education (BPED))


■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor



Course Content

Dept. of Physical Education (MPED) (No. of Students =6)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Physical Education (MPED))




Course Content

Dept of Social Work (No. of Students=16)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Social Work)

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor




	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of coverage of courses	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall Rating
■ Excellent	93.75	62.5	50	56.25	25	37.5	31.25	62.5
■ Good	6.25	37.5	43.75	43.75	62.5	43.75	68.75	25
■ Average	0	0	6.25	0	12.5	12.5	0	12.5
■ Poor	0	0	0	0	0	6.25	0	0

Course Content

Dept of Telugu (No. of Students =17)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Telugu)

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Average ■ Poor




	Depth of the course content including project work if any	Extent of coverage of courses	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Learning Value (In term of knowledge, concept, manual skills, analytical abilities & broadening perspectives)	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	Relevance of additional sources, material (Library)	Extent of effort required by students	Overall Rating
■ Excellent	100	100	88.24	88.24	88.24	88.24	100	100
■ Good	0	0	11.76	11.76	11.76	11.76	0	0
■ Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
■ Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Course Content

Dept. of Women's Studies (Economics) (No. of Students =20)


Students Feedback on Course Content (Economics)



Course Content

Dept of Women's Studies (No. of students=9)

Students Feedback on Course Content (Women's Studies)



Course Content

FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS PERFORMANCE

A) Number of feedbacks received in 2017-2018 years

Year	Number of Students
2017-2018	657

B) Questionnaire

S.No	Question
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher as perceived by you.
2.	Communication Skills (In terms of articulation and comprehensibility).
3.	Sincerity /Commitment of teacher.
4.	Interest generated by the teacher
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective
6.	Ability to integrate content with other courses
	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (include availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)
8.	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course
9.	Provision of sufficient time for feedback
10.	Overall rating


C) Scaling

Scale Range	0.5 – 1.5	1.5-2.5	2.5-3.5	3.5-4
Grade	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent


DEPARTMENT WISE STUDENT'S FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS PERFORMANCE

I. School of Sciences 1. Applied Mathematics

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
K.Venkatadri	3.73	3.68	3.59	3.41	3.46	3.68	3.65	3.57	3.43	3.7	3.59	Excellent
L.M.Vishnupriya	3.92	3.95	3.92	3.89	3.84	3.78	3.81	3.84	3.73	3.95	3.86	Excellent
M.Sivaparvathi	3.78	3.76	3.81	3.65	3.65	3.73	3.78	3.73	3.59	3.84	3.73	Excellent
K.Krishna veni	3.33	3.29	3.38	3.19	3.14	3.19	3.19	3.29	3.29	3.33	3.26	Very Good
K.Manjula	3.7	3.78	3.84	3.68	3.78	3.46	3.59	3.76	3.62	3.73	3.69	Excellent
C.Venkatalakshmi	3.57	3.62	3.59	3.68	3.7	3.65	3.65	3.68	3.54	3.68	3.64	Excellent




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	Grade
K.Venkatadri	3.59	Excellent
L.M.Vishnupriya	3.86	Excellent
M.Sivaparvathi	3.73	Excellent
K.Krishna veni	3.26	Very Good
K.Manjula	3.69	Excellent
C.Venkatalakshmi	3.64	Excellent




2. Applied Microbiology & Biochemistry

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
V.V.Lakshmi	3.25	3.15	3	3.15	3.15	3.1	3.05	2.95	3.05	3.05	3.09	Very Good
P.Suvarnalatha Devi	3.29	3.19	3.29	3.29	3.48	3.33	3.24	3.29	3.29	3.52	3.32	Very Good
R.Jaya Madhuri	3.1	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.35	3.3	3.4	3.35	3.25	3.35	3.29	Very Good
P.Uma Maheswari Devi	3.05	2.95	3.19	2.95	3.19	3.19	3.14	3.19	3.1	3.24	3.12	Very Good
Ch.M.Kumari Chitturi	3.15	3	3	3.15	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.16	Very Good
M.Vani	3.94	3.89	3.94	3.83	3.83	3.61	3.89	3.56	3.56	3.94	3.8	Excellent
S.Haseena Bhanu	3.94	3.94	3.89	3.72	3.78	3.67	3.83	3.5	3.61	3.89	3.78	Excellent




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
V.V.Lakshmi	3.09	Very Good
P.Suvarnalatha Devi	3.32	Very Good
R.Jaya Madhuri	3.29	Very Good
P.Uma Maheswari Devi	3.12	Very Good
Ch.M.Kumari Chitturi	3.16	Very Good
M.Vani	3.8	Excellent
S.Haseena Bhanu	3.78	Excellent




3. Biotechnology

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
R.Usha	3.63	3.47	3.38	3.41	3.47	3.47	3.47	3.38	3.41	3.28	3.44	Very Good
V.Kalarani	3.38	3.22	3.31	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.22	3.25	3.31	3.31	3.26	Very Good
N.John Sushma	3.63	3.56	3.59	3.59	3.5	3.44	3.44	3.44	3.44	3.44	3.51	Excellent
P.Josthna	3.31	3.31	3.22	3.06	3.19	3.25	3.28	3.19	3.13	3.25	3.22	Very Good
Reshma Anjum	3.31	3.13	3.22	3.19	3.22	3.16	3.34	3.25	3.19	3.16	3.22	Very Good
N.Rasajna	3.75	3.75	3.78	3.69	3.72	3.72	3.66	3.66	3.59	3.69	3.7	Excellent
B.Kishori	3.42	3.23	3.35	3.23	3.35	3.39	3.45	3.39	3.32	3.35	3.35	Very Good




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
R.Usha	3.44	Very Good
V.Kalarani	3.26	Very Good
N.John Sushma	3.51	Excellent
P.Josthna	3.22	Very Good
Reshma Anjum	3.22	Very Good
N.Rasajna	3.7	Excellent
B.Kishori	3.35	Very Good




4. Department of Home Science

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
M.Aruna	3.67	3.67	3.56	3.56	3.56	3.56	3.44	3.33	3.56	3.56	3.55	Excellent
G.Sireesha	3.43	3	3.29	3.29	2.93	3.14	3.14	3.07	3.07	3.21	3.16	Very Good
D.Sarada	3.95	3.47	3.26	3.53	3.16	3.21	3.47	3.11	3.26	3.63	3.41	Very Good
R.Varalakshmi	3.5	3.42	3.67	3.42	3.08	3.17	2.92	3.17	3.25	3.33	3.29	Very Good
V.Bindu	3.57	3.14	3.14	3.07	3.14	2.93	3.29	3.21	3.29	3.29	3.21	Very Good
A.Jyothi	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.8	4	4	4	4	4	3.84	Excellent
S.Vijaya Jyothi	2.73	2.45	2.45	2.36	2.55	2.36	2.45	2.18	2.45	2.45	2.44	Average
N.Rajani	3.47	3.16	3.26	3.21	3.16	3.16	3.21	3.05	3.26	3.11	3.21	Very Good




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
M.Aruna	3.55	Excellent
G.Sireesha	3.16	Very Good
D.Sarada	3.41	Very Good
R.Varalakshmi	3.29	Very Good
V.Bindu	3.21	Very Good
A.Jyothi	3.84	Excellent
S.Vijaya Jyothi	2.44	Average
N.Rajani	3.21	Very Good




5. Organic Chemistry

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
D.Saritha	3.28	3.24	3.24	3.13	3.05	3.08	3.07	3.1	3.03	3.29	3.15	Very Good
B.Swetha	3.34	3.38	3.22	3.16	3.08	3.01	3.27	3.22	3.06	3.35	3.21	Very Good
S.jaheera	2.87	2.8	2.74	2.69	2.69	2.68	2.72	2.63	2.74	2.88	2.74	Very Good
M.Dharani	3.03	2.92	3.06	2.9	2.91	2.88	2.98	2.9	2.91	3.07	2.96	Very Good
P.Sreevani	3.07	2.86	2.99	2.92	2.97	2.94	2.92	2.91	2.95	3.13	2.97	Very Good
N.Prameela	2.81	2.93	3.02	2.79	2.86	2.73	2.84	2.74	2.93	2.97	2.86	Very Good
K.Nagajyothi	3.41	3.35	3.27	3.09	3.16	3.16	3.14	3.16	3.17	3.36	3.23	Very Good




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
D.Saritha	3.15	Very Good
B.Swetha	3.21	Very Good
S.jaheera	2.74	Very Good
M.Dharani	2.96	Very Good
P.Sreevani	2.97	Very Good
N.Prameela	2.86	Very Good
K.Nagajyothi	3.23	Very Good




6. Physics

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
S.Reshma	2.93	2.93	2.98	2.78	2.71	2.8	2.8	2.66	2.78	2.83	2.82	Very Good
S.Tejaswini	2.78	2.71	2.63	2.78	2.71	2.68	2.68	2.78	2.98	2.71	2.74	Very Good
B.Rajitha	3.51	3.34	3.46	3.39	3.34	3.22	3.34	3.29	3.2	3.51	3.36	Very Good
D.Venkatalakshmi	3.41	3.41	3.61	3.41	3.34	3.39	3.37	3.27	3.39	3.56	3.42	Very Good
C.jaya Chandraiah	3.93	3.9	3.88	3.9	3.85	3.83	3.73	3.71	3.78	3.85	3.84	Excellent




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
S.Reshma	2.82	Very Good
S.Tejaswini	2.74	Very Good
B.Rajitha	3.36	Very Good
D.Venkatalakshmi	3.42	Very Good
C.jaya Chandraiah	3.84	Excellent




7. Sericulture

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
A.Suvarna Latha	3.89	3.79	3.61	3.79	3.54	3.64	3.61	3.68	3.75	3.68	3.7	Excellent
K.S.Santhi Sree	3.93	3.93	3.97	3.86	3.9	3.83	3.9	3.9	3.83	4	3.91	Excellent
Lakshmi Padmavathi	3.18	3.07	3.11	3.07	3.04	3.04	2.93	3.07	3.07	3.18	3.08	Very Good
D.M.Mamatha	4	3.89	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.56	3.44	3.56	3.78	3.74	Excellent
N.Vijaya Kumari	4	4	4	4	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.9	Excellent
D.Bharathi	3.56	4	3.67	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.67	3.44	3.56	3.78	3.7	Excellent
G.Savithri	4	4	4	3.89	3.89	3.78	3.78	3.89	4	4	3.92	Excellent
P.Sujathamma	3.89	3.67	3.78	3.78	3.67	3.89	3.78	3.44	3.78	3.78	3.75	Excellent
K.Nagalakshmmama	4	4	3.89	4	4	3.89	3.89	3.78	3.67	3.89	3.9	Excellent
B.Hemavathi	3.5	3.42	3.31	3.5	3.42	3.38	3.5	3.31	3.31	3.19	3.38	Very Good
A.Shobha Rani	3.38	3.15	3.12	3.08	3.35	3.15	3.15	3.23	3.19	3.15	3.2	Very Good




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
A.Suvarna Latha	3.7	Excellent
K.S.Santhi Sree	3.91	Excellent
Lakshmi Padmavathi	3.08	Very Good
D.M.Mamatha	3.74	Excellent
N.Vijaya Kumari	3.9	Excellent
D.Bharathi	3.7	Excellent
G.Savithri	3.92	Excellent
P.Sujathamma	3.75	Excellent
K.Nagalakshmmama	3.9	Excellent
B.Hemavathi	3.38	Very Good
A.Shobha Rani	3.2	Very Good




8. Statistics

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
T.Suneetha	3.82	3.76	3.82	3.68	3.59	3.59	3.62	3.71	3.56	3.88	3.7	Excellent
T.Sukeerthi	3.18	3.24	3.18	3.06	3.06	3.12	3.38	3.21	3.15	3.29	3.19	Very Good
T.Siva kalyani	3.32	3.24	3.47	3.26	3.12	3.09	3.5	3.29	3.32	3.56	3.32	Very Good
K.Sukanya	3.09	2.88	2.91	3.21	3	3.03	3.21	3.12	3.09	3.21	3.08	Very Good




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
T.Suneetha	3.7	Excellent
T.Sukeerthi	3.19	Very Good
T.Siva kalyani	3.32	Very Good
K.Sukanya	3.08	Very Good




II. SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES:

1. Business Administration

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
G.Subhashini	3.75	3.73	3.59	3.46	3.52	3.51	3.53	3.57	3.51	3.64	3.58	Excellent
Latha	3.74	3.71	3.65	3.48	3.37	3.37	3.34	3.47	3.39	3.61	3.51	Excellent
B.Vijayalakshmi	3.64	3.62	3.47	3.41	3.41	3.3	3.43	3.33	3.42	3.58	3.46	Very Good
E.Chandra Naik	3.12	2.97	3.18	3.02	3.17	3.09	3.22	3.04	3.18	3.19	3.12	Very Good
V.Bhargavi	3.58	3.58	3.44	3.38	3.42	3.36	3.4	3.42	3.37	3.5	3.45	Very Good
Suneetha	3.57	3.45	3.4	3.37	3.25	3.31	3.36	3.24	3.36	3.45	3.38	Very Good
J.Katyayani	3.76	3.72	3.62	3.44	3.37	3.51	3.45	3.5	3.5	3.59	3.55	Excellent
A.Parvathi	3.63	3.62	3.6	3.4	3.42	3.4	3.34	3.37	3.38	3.55	3.47	Very Good
Sree Rajani	3.66	3.75	3.53	3.39	3.36	3.35	3.41	3.44	3.28	3.53	3.47	Very Good




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
G.Subhashini	3.58	Excellent
Latha	3.51	Excellent
B.Vijayalakshmi	3.46	Very Good
E.Chandra Naik	3.12	Very Good
V.Bhargavi	3.45	Very Good
Suneetha	3.38	Very Good
J.Katyayani	3.55	Excellent
A.Parvathi	3.47	Very Good
Sree Rajani	3.47	Very Good




2. Commerce

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
P.Swapna	3.25	3.23	3.27	3.18	3.21	3.14	3.3	3.21	3.21	3.32	3.23	Very Good
E.Lavanya	3.86	3.75	3.68	3.54	3.57	3.57	3.64	3.61	3.5	3.75	3.65	Excellent
P.Roopa	3.77	3.82	3.63	3.68	3.52	3.46	3.61	3.68	3.46	3.73	3.64	Excellent
Y.Suguna	3.39	3.47	3.44	3.4	3.3	3.26	3.4	3.49	3.35	3.53	3.4	Very Good




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
P.Swapna	3.23	Very Good
E.Lavanya	3.65	Excellent
P.Roopa	3.64	Excellent
Y.Suguna	3.4	Very Good




3. Education

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
Ch.Baby Prasuna	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Excellent
P.Renuka	3.61	3.61	3.34	3.56	3.46	3.41	3.37	3.54	3.63	3.83	3.54	Excellent
N.Anuradha	3.87	3.66	3.58	3.66	3.55	3.61	3.5	3.63	3.55	3.89	3.65	Excellent
L.Suresh Babu	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Excellent
T.G.Amutha Valli	3.83	3.54	3.27	3.56	3.24	3.39	3.2	3.44	3.37	3.68	3.45	Very Good
M.Nirnala Rekkha	4	4	4	4	3.83	4	4	4	4	4	3.98	Excellent
T.Sireesha	3.79	3.61	3.47	3.42	3.5	3.53	3.47	3.53	3.66	3.84	3.58	Excellent
G.Suneetha Bai	3.91	3.74	3.54	3.57	3.54	3.46	3.43	3.57	3.66	3.91	3.63	Excellent




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
Ch.Baby Prasuna	4	Excellent
P.Renuka	3.54	Excellent
N.Anuradha	3.65	Excellent
L.Suresh Babu	4	Excellent
T.G.Amutha Valli	3.45	Very Good
M.Nirnala Rekkha	3.98	Excellent
T.Sireesha	3.58	Excellent
G.Suneetha Bai	3.63	Excellent




4. English Language & Literature

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
V.Nirmala	3.95	3.81	3.81	3.84	3.7	3.76	3.51	3.62	3.59	3.68	3.73	Excellent
P.Hari Padma Rani	3.9	3.9	3.86	3.83	3.79	3.72	3.72	3.69	3.72	3.76	3.79	Excellent
G.Sheela Swarupa Rani	3.86	3.79	3.9	3.79	3.69	3.76	3.83	3.66	3.72	3.93	3.79	Excellent
T.Bharathi	3.97	3.86	3.97	3.72	3.41	3.66	3.66	3.62	3.72	3.86	3.75	Excellent
B.Vani	3.45	3.48	3.55	3.31	3.21	3.34	3.28	3.31	3.31	3.48	3.37	Very Good
Y.S.Sharada	3.83	3.79	3.86	3.66	3.72	3.59	3.72	3.66	3.59	3.76	3.72	Excellent
M.Neeraja	3.76	3.62	3.83	3.62	3.55	3.66	3.72	3.55	3.66	3.76	3.67	Excellent




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
V.Nirmala	3.73	Excellent
P.Hari Padma Rani	3.79	Excellent
G.Sheela Swarupa Rani	3.79	Excellent
T.Bharathi	3.75	Excellent
B.Vani	3.37	Very Good
Y.S.Sharada	3.72	Excellent
M.Neeraja	3.67	Excellent




5. Department of Music

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
K.Saraswathi Vasudev	4	4	4	4	4	4	3.67	3.67	4	4	3.93	Excellent
U.Himabindu	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Excellent
R.N.S.Saileswari	3.33	3	3	3	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3	3	3.17	Very Good
Dwaram V.J.Lakshmi	4	3.33	3.33	3.33	3	3	3.33	3.33	3	3.33	3.3	Very Good




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
K.Saraswathi Vasudev	3.93	Excellent
U.Himabindu	4	Excellent
R.N.S.Saileswari	3.17	Very Good
Dwaram V.J.Lakshmi	3.3	Very Good




6. Department of Physical Education

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
P.Mohan	3.87	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.87	3.73	3.8	3.6	3.67	3.93	3.77	Excellent
G.Sarah Sarojini	4	3.88	4	3.75	4	4	3.75	3.88	4	4	3.93	Excellent
G.Gayathri	3.81	3.56	3.69	3.63	3.81	3.5	3.56	3.44	3.44	3.81	3.63	Excellent
D.Rambabu	3.88	3.88	3.88	3.76	3.88	3.82	3.59	3.76	3.76	4	3.82	Excellent
N.Balaji Naik	3.8	3.53	3.67	3.53	3.53	3.47	3.47	3.53	3.53	3.8	3.59	Excellent
N.Ramesh	3.87	3.87	3.87	3.67	3.8	3.87	3.87	3.8	3.87	3.93	3.84	Excellent
K.Gajendra	3.88	3.81	3.88	3.94	3.94	3.81	3.94	3.63	3.94	4	3.88	Excellent
V.Subramanyam	3.85	3.77	3.62	3.62	3.46	3.38	3.54	3.38	3.54	3.77	3.59	Excellent
B.R.Latha	3.67	3.6	3.8	3.73	3.53	3.47	3.67	3.6	3.53	3.87	3.65	Excellent




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
P.Mohan	3.77	Excellent
G.Sarah Sarojini	3.93	Excellent
G.Gayathri	3.63	Excellent
D.Rambabu	3.82	Excellent
N.Balaji Naik	3.59	Excellent
N.Ramesh	3.84	Excellent
K.Gajendra	3.88	Excellent
V.Subramanyam	3.59	Excellent
B.R.Latha	3.65	Excellent




7. Department of Social Work

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
K.Anuradha	3.75	3.44	3.63	3.56	3.63	3.44	3.63	3.69	3.69	3.88	3.63	Excellent
P.Thavitha Thulasi	2.81	2.63	2.75	2.38	2.63	2.75	2.44	2.31	2.5	2.69	2.59	Very Good
K.Murugaiah	4	3.88	3.88	3.81	3.63	3.63	3.75	3.63	3.75	3.81	3.78	Excellent
G.Malleswaramma	3	3.31	3.44	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.25	3.13	3.19	3.31	3.2	Very Good
V.Uma	3.67	4	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.57	Excellent
I.V.Lalitha Kumari	3.69	3.69	3.63	3.69	3.56	3.38	3.56	3.56	3.63	3.88	3.63	Excellent




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
K.Anuradha	3.63	Excellent
P.Thavitha Thulasi	2.59	Very Good
K.Murugaiah	3.78	Excellent
G.Malleswaramma	3.2	Very Good
V.Uma	3.57	Excellent
I.V.Lalitha Kumari	3.63	Excellent




8. Department of Telugu Studies

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
P.Shalini	4	3.94	3.76	3.71	3.82	3.71	3.71	3.76	4	4	3.84	Excellent
M.Vijayalakshmi	4	4	3.94	4	3.76	3.76	3.76	3.71	3.94	4	3.89	Excellent
Y.Subhashini	4	4	3.94	3.88	3.88	3.88	3.76	3.65	3.94	4	3.89	Excellent
K.Madhu Jyoti	4	3.94	4	3.88	3.76	3.76	3.82	3.59	3.82	4	3.86	Excellent
D.Yuvasri	4	4	3.94	3.82	3.88	3.82	3.71	3.71	3.88	4	3.88	Excellent




TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
P.Shalini	3.8	Excellent
M.Vijayalakshmi	3.9	Excellent
Y.Subhashini	3.9	Excellent
K.Madhu Jyoti	3.9	Excellent
D.Yuvasri	3.9	Excellent



9. Department of Women's Studies

TEACHER'S NAME	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Final Rating	Grade
D.B. Krishna Kumari	3.93	3.83	3.79	3.79	3.9	3.72	3.79	3.72	3.76	3.9	3.81	Excellent
G.Sandhya Rani	3.9	3.86	3.66	3.69	3.66	3.59	3.69	3.79	3.62	3.76	3.72	Excellent
R.Jayasree	3.78	3.56	3.89	3.56	3.44	3.33	3.56	3.44	3.56	3.67	3.58	Excellent
P.Neeraja	3.89	3.44	3.44	3.44	3.44	3.33	3.56	3.56	3.67	3.56	3.53	Excellent
P.Madhavi	3.76	3.59	3.69	3.62	3.79	3.55	3.66	3.76	3.72	3.79	3.69	Excellent
M.Padmavathi	3.89	3.44	3.33	3.56	3.22	3.56	3.44	3.56	3.56	3.67	3.52	Excellent



TEACHER'S NAME	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	GRADE
FACULTY NAME	Final Rating	Scale
D.B. Krishna Kumari	3.81	Excellent
G.Sandhya Rani	3.72	Excellent
R.Jayasree	3.58	Excellent
P.Neeraja	3.53	Excellent
P.Madhavi	3.69	Excellent

