

SRI PADMAVATI MAHILA VISVAVIDYALAYAM

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

(2016-2017)

Collected Manually:



Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students

(2016-2017)

Questionnaire No. 1

Programme :

Department :

Semester/Term/Year:

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

Parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1. Depth of the course content including project work if any				
2. Extent of coverage of course				
3. Applicability/relevance to real life situations				
4. Learning value(in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)				
5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material				
6. Relevance of additional source material (Library)				
7. Extent of effort required by Students				
8. Overall rating				



SRI PADMAVATI MAHILA VISVAVIDYALAYAM: TIRUPATI

Questionnaire No. 3

University SPMVV

(2016-2017)

Students' overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching
(To be filled only after Results are out)

Department :

Course :

Teacher :

Year :

The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in the future. You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick off more than one answer to a question to the extent that it does not invalidate your response.

1. The syllabus was
 - a) challenging
 - b) dull
 - c) adequate
 - d) inadequate
2. Your background for benefiting from the course was
 - a) more than adequate
 - b) just adequate
 - c) inadequate
 - d) cannot say
3. Was the course conceptually difficult to understand?
 - a) easy
 - b) manageable
 - c) difficult
 - d) very difficult
4. How much of the syllabus was covered in class?
 - a) 85 to 100%
 - b) 70 to 85%
 - c) 55 to 70%
 - d) less than 55%
5. What is your opinion about the library materials for the course?
 - a) excellent
 - b) adequate
 - c) inadequate
 - d) very poor



SRI PADMAVATI MAHILA VISVAVIDYALAYAM: TIRUPATI

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?
a) easily b) with difficulty
c) not at all d) with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for class?
a) thoroughly b) satisfactorily
c) poorly d) indifferently

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate?
a) effectively b) invariably
c) satisfactorily d) badly

9. Did the teacher encourage student participation in class?
a) yes b) attempted
c) not at all d) sometimes

10. If yes, which of the following methods were used?
a) encouraged questions b) discussion in class
c) discussion outside class d) discussion individually

11. How helpful was the teacher in advising?
a) helpful b) unhelpful
c) sometimes helpful d) sometimes unhelpful

12. Was the teacher
a) courteous b) rude
c) indifferent d) strict

13. Did the internal assessment work?
a) fairly b) regularly
c) helpfully d) cannot say

14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course
a) improve it b) lower it
c) no effect d) cannot say



SRI PADMAVATI MAHILA VISVAVIDYALAYAM: TIRUPATI

15. How did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
a) regularly/irregularly b) in time/late
c) with helpful comments d) without comments
16. Were your assignments discussed with you?
a) yes, fully b) yes, partly
c) no d) sometimes
17. Were you provided with a course and lecture outline at the beginning?
a) yes b) no
18. Was it helpful?
a) yes b) no
19. Was it followed?
a) yes b) no
20. Was there any opportunity for personal interaction with teachers?
a) Yes b) to some extent
c) nil d) cannot say
21. Was there any opportunity for small group work?
a) Yes b) to some extent
c) nil d) cannot say
22. Were outsider experts invited to address you?
a) Yes b) rarely
c) none d) frequently
23. Did you visit industries, laboratories, banks and outside Universities/
a) Yes, frequently b) some times
c) no d) yes, rarely
24. If you have other comments to offer on the course and the instructor you may do so below or on a separate sheet.



(2016-2017)

1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students

Questionnaire No. 1

Programme : MSc

Department : BioTechnology - MSc

Semester/Term/Year: IVth

Students are required to rate the courses on the following attributes using the 4 -point scale shown.

Parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1. Depth of the course content including project work if any	✓			
2. Extent of coverage of course		✓		
3. Applicability/relevance to real life situations				
4. Learning value(in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		✓		
5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material		✓		
6. Relevance of additional source material (Library)		✓		
7. Extent of effort required by Students		✓		
8. Overall rating			✓	

(2016-2017)



SRI PADMAVATI MAHILA VISVAVIDYALAYAM: TIRUPATI

Questionnaire No. 3

University SPMVV

**Students' overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching
(To be filled only after Results are out)**

Department : *BioTechnology*

Course : *MSC*

Teacher :

Year : *2015-17*

The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in the future. You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick off more than one answer to a question to the extent that it does not invalidate your response.

1. The syllabus was
a) challenging b) dull
c) adequate d) inadequate
2. Your background for benefiting from the course was
a) more than adequate b) just adequate
c) inadequate d) cannot say
3. Was the course conceptually difficult to understand?
a) easy b) manageable
c) difficult d) very difficult
4. How much of the syllabus was covered in class?
a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85%
c) 55 to 70% d) less than 55%
5. What is your opinion about the library materials for the course?
a) excellent b) adequate
c) inadequate d) very poor



(2016-2017)

3

SRI PADMAVATI MAHILA VISVAVIDYALAYAM: TIRUPATI

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?
a) easily with difficulty
c) not at all d) with great difficulty
7. How well did the teacher prepare for class?
a) thoroughly b) satisfactorily
c) poorly d) indifferently
8. How well was the teacher able to communicate?
a) effectively b) invariably
c) satisfactorily d) badly
9. Did the teacher encourage student participation in class?
a) yes b) attempted
c) not at all d) sometimes
10. If yes, which of the following methods were used?
a) encouraged questions b) discussion in class
c) discussion outside class d) discussion individually
11. How helpful was the teacher in advising?
a) helpful b) unhelpful
c) sometimes helpful d) sometimes unhelpful
12. Was the teacher
a) courteous b) rude
c) indifferent d) strict
13. Did the internal assessment work?
a) fairly b) regularly
c) helpfully d) cannot say
14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course
a) improve it b) lower it
c) no effect d) cannot say



(2016-2017)

(4)

SRI PADMAVATI MAHILA VISVAVIDYALAYAM: TIRUPATI

15. How did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
a) regularly/irregularly b) in time/late
c) with helpful comments d) without comments
16. Were your assignments discussed with you?
a) yes, fully b) yes, partly
c) no d) sometimes
17. Were you provided with a course and lecture outline at the beginning?
a) yes b) no
18. Was it helpful?
a) yes b) no
19. Was it followed?
a) yes b) no
20. Was there any opportunity for personal interaction with teachers?
a) Yes b) to some extent
c) nil d) cannot say
21. Was there any opportunity for small group work?
a) Yes b) to some extent
c) nil d) cannot say
22. Were outsider experts invited to address you?
a) Yes b) rarely
c) none d) frequently
23. Did you visit industries, laboratories, banks and outside Universities/
a) Yes, frequently b) some times
c) no d) yes, rarely
24. If you have other comments to offer on the course and the instructor you may do so below or on a separate sheet.



(2016-2017)

5

Questionnaire No.2
IQAC,SPMVV,Tiupati.

Student feedback on Teachers (separate for each teacher)

Department:

Semester/term/Year:

Please rate the teacher using the 4-point scale (shown below)
{A -Very Good B- Good, C- Satisfactory, D- un Satisfactory}

Parameters	Faculty Name	Faculty Name	Faculty Name	Faculty Name	Faculty Name
1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)					
2. Communication Skills(in terms of Articulation and comprehensibility)					
3.Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher					
4.Interest generated by the teacher					
5. Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide broader perspective					
6. Ability to integrate content with other courses					
7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)					
8. Ability to design quizzes /Tests/assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course					
9. Provision of sufficient time for feedback					
10. Overall rating					



(2016-2017)

Questionnaire No.2
IQAC,SPMVV,Tiupati.

Student feedback on Teachers (separate for each teacher)

Department: *Biotechnology*

Semester/term/Year: *5th yr*

Please rate the teacher using the 4-point scale (shown below)
{A -Very Good B- Good, C- Satisfactory, D- un Satisfactory}

Parameters	Faculty Name <i>R. usha Mam</i>	Faculty Name <i>V. Kalagan Mam</i>	Faculty Name <i>P. Jyothsna Mam</i>	Faculty Name <i>B. Kishore Mam</i>	Faculty Name <i>N. John Sushma Mam</i>
1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
2. Communication Skills(in terms of Articulation and comprehensibility)	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>B</i>
3.Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
4.Interest generated by the teacher	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>A</i>
5. Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide broader perspective	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
6. Ability to integrate content with other courses	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>
8. Ability to design quizzes /Tests/assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>A</i>
9. Provision of sufficient time for feedback	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>B</i>	
10. Overall rating	<i>B</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>A</i>